arXiv:1001.3466v1 [math.CO] 20 Jan 2010

MULTIPLE ANALOGUES OF BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS AND RELATED FAMILIES OF SPECIAL NUMBERS

HASAN COSKUN

Abstract. We construct multiple qt-binomial coefficients and related multiple analogues of several celebrated families of special numbers in this paper. These multidimensional generalizations include the first and the second kind of qt-Stirling numbers, qt-Bell numbers, qt-Bernoulli numbers, qt-Catalan numbers and the qt–Fibonacci numbers. In the course of developing main properties of these extensions, we prove results that are significant in their own rights such as certain probability measures on the set of integer partitions.

1. Introduction

Many distinct sequences of special numbers are investigated and their properties are explored in number theory. Various generalizations of such families of numbers have also been studied extensively for most cases. An important class of generalizations of special numbers is their one dimensional, one parameter q-extensions. In this paper, we give multidimensional basic qt-generalizations for several collections of such numbers including the binomial coefficients and the classes of numbers associated with the names of Stirling, Bernoulli, Catalan, Bell and Fibonacci. We also point out how to construct multiple ordinary α-generalizations for the same number sequences. The definitions of these numbers and the properties they satisfy show great variety which makes this research area very interesting.

Among many mathematicians who contributed to this line of research, L. Carlitz appears to be the first to study the q-extensions for several families of special numbers given in this paper. Many have made significant contributions since then investigating properties of q-generalizations, their applications and connections with other types of numbers. We will give references to some of these successful efforts and important work in section 4 below.

The present paper takes a step in generalizing the one dimensional q-special numbers to multiple qt-special analogues. These generalizations are given in terms of the qt–binomial coefficient defined in section 3 in the form

$$\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} := \frac{q^{|\mu|}t^{2n(\mu)+(1-n)|\mu|}}{(qt^{n-1})_{\mu}} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}\right\} W_{\mu}^{s\uparrow}(q^{\lambda}t^{\delta(n)};q,t)$$

where λ and μ are n-part partitions and $q,t\in\mathbb{C}$. The $W_{\mu}^{s\uparrow}$ function that enters into the definition is a limiting case of the well–poised BC_n Macdonald function W_{λ}. We first give a brief review of this remarkable family of functions and its

---

Date: December 21, 2009.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A10; Secondary 33D67, 11B65.

Key words and phrases. multiple special numbers, well–poised Macdonald functions, well–poised Jackson coefficients, probability measures.

one parameter generalization ω_λ called well–poised BC_n Jackson coefficients. The symmetric rational functions W_λ and ω_λ are first introduced in the author's Ph.D. thesis [15] in the basic (trigonometric) case, and later in [16] in the more general elliptic form.

2. Background

We start with the definition of the q–Pochhammer symbol $(a;q)_\alpha$, where $q, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, which can be defined formally by

$$(a;q)_\alpha := \frac{(a;q)_\infty}{(aq^\alpha;q)_\infty} \tag{2.1}$$

in terms of the infinite product $(a;q)_\infty := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty}(1-aq^i)$. Note that when $\alpha = m$ is a positive integer, the definition reduces to $(a;q)_m = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1}(1-aq^k)$.

An elliptic analogue is defined [18, 38] in the form

$$(a;q,p)_m := \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \theta(aq^m) \tag{2.2}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$, m is a positive integer and the normalized elliptic function $\theta(x)$ is given by

$$\theta(x) = \theta(x;p) := (x;p)_\infty (p/x;p)_\infty \tag{2.3}$$

for $x, p \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|p| < 1$. The definition is extended to negative m by setting $(a;q,p)_m = 1/(aq^m;q,p)_{-m}$. Note that when $p = 0$, $(a;q,p)_m$ reduces to the standard (trigonometric) q–Pochhammer symbol.

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$, define [41]

$$(a)_\lambda = (a;q,p,t)_\lambda := \prod_{k=1}^{n} (at^{1-i};q,p)_{\lambda_i}. \tag{2.4}$$

Note that when $\lambda = (\lambda_1) = \lambda_1$ is a single part partition, then $(a;q,p,t)_\lambda = (a;q,p)_{\lambda_1} = (a)_{\lambda_1}$. The following notation will also be used.

$$(a_1, \dots, a_k)_\lambda = (a_1, \dots, a_k;q,p,t)_\lambda := (a_1)_\lambda \dots (a_k)_\lambda. \tag{2.5}$$

Now let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ be partitions of at most n parts for a positive integer n such that the skew partition λ/μ is a horizontal strip; i.e. $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \lambda_n \geq \mu_n \geq \lambda_{n+1} = \mu_{n+1} = 0$. Following [17], we define

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{\lambda/\mu}(q,p,t,b) \\ &:= \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} \left\{ \frac{(q^{\mu_i-\mu_{j-1}}t^{j-i})_{\mu_{j-1}-\lambda_j}(q^{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}t^{3-j-i}b)_{\mu_{j-1}-\lambda_j}}{(q^{\mu_i-\mu_{j-1}+1}t^{j-i-1})_{\mu_{j-1}-\lambda_j}(q^{\lambda_i+\lambda_j+1}t^{2-j-i}b)_{\mu_{j-1}-\lambda_j}} \right. \\ &\left. \cdot \frac{(q^{\lambda_i-\mu_{j-1}+1}t^{j-i-1})_{\mu_{j-1}-\lambda_j}}{(q^{\lambda_i-\mu_{j-1}}t^{j-i})_{\mu_{j-1}-\lambda_j}} \right\} \cdot \prod_{1\leq i<(j-1)\leq n} \frac{(q^{\mu_i+\lambda_j+1}t^{1-j-i}b)_{\mu_{j-1}-\lambda_j}}{(q^{\mu_i+\lambda_j}t^{2-j-i}b)_{\mu_{j-1}-\lambda_j}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$W_{\lambda/\mu}(x;q,p,t,a,b) := H_{\lambda/\mu}(q,p,t,b)\cdot\frac{(x^{-1},ax)_\lambda(qbx/t,qb/(axt))_\mu}{(x^{-1},ax)_\mu(qbx,qb/(ax))_\lambda} \cdot\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\frac{\theta(bt^{1-2i}q^{2\mu_i})}{\theta(bt^{1-2i})}\frac{(bt^{1-2i})_{\mu_i+\lambda_{i+1}}}{(bqt^{-2i})_{\mu_i+\lambda_{i+1}}}\cdot t^{i(\mu_i-\lambda_{i+1})}\right\} \tag{2.7}$$

where $q,p,t,x,a,b\in\mathbb{C}$. The function $W_{\lambda/\mu}(y,z_1,\dots,z_\ell;q,p,t,a,b)$ is extended to $\ell+1$ variables $y,z_1,\dots,z_\ell\in\mathbb{C}$ through the following recursion formula

$$\begin{aligned} &W_{\lambda/\mu}(y,z_1,z_2,\dots,z_\ell;q,p,t,a,b)\\ &\quad=\sum_{\nu\prec\lambda}W_{\lambda/\nu}(yt^{-\ell};q,p,t,at^{2\ell},bt^{\ell})\,W_{\nu/\mu}(z_1,\dots,z_\ell;q,p,t,a,b). \end{aligned}\tag{2.8}$$

We will also need the elliptic Jackson coefficients below. Let λ and μ be again partitions of at most n–parts such that λ/μ is a skew partition. Then the Jackson coefficients $\omega_{\lambda/\mu}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\lambda/\mu}(x;r,q,p,t;a,b) &:= \frac{(x^{-1},ax)_\lambda}{(qbx,qb/ax)_\lambda}\frac{(qbr^{-1}x,qb/axr)_\mu}{(x^{-1},ax)_\mu}\\ &\cdot\frac{(r,br^{-1}t^{1-n})_\mu}{(qbr^{-2},qt^{n-1})_\mu}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\frac{\theta(br^{-1}t^{2-2i}q^{2\mu_i})}{\theta(br^{-1}t^{2-2i})}\left(qt^{2i-2}\right)^{\mu_i}\right\}\\ &\cdot\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}\frac{(br^{-1}t^{3-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}{(br^{-1}t^{2-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}\right\}\\ &\cdot W_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)};q,p,t,bt^{2-2n},br^{-1}t^{1-n}) \end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

where $x,r,q,p,t,a,b\in\mathbb{C}$.

Note that $W_{\lambda/\mu}(x;q,p,t,a,b)$ vanishes unless λ/μ is a horizontal strip, whereas $\omega_{\lambda/\mu}(x;r;a,b)=\omega_{\lambda/\mu}(x;r,q,p,t;a,b)$ is defined even when λ/μ is not a horizontal strip.

The operator characterization [17] of $\omega_{\lambda/\mu}$ yields a recursion formula for Jackson coefficients in the form

$$\omega_{\lambda/\tau}(y,z;r;a,b) := \sum_{\mu}\omega_{\lambda/\mu}(r^{-k}y;r;ar^{2k},br^{k})\,\omega_{\mu/\tau}(z;r;a,b)\tag{2.10}$$

where $y=(x_1,\dots,x_{n-k})\in\mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ and $z=(x_{n-k+1},\dots,x_n)\in\mathbb{C}^k$.

A key result used in the development of the multiple special numbers, the cocycle identity for $\omega_{\lambda/\mu}$, is written in [17] in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_{\nu/\mu}((uv)^{-1};uv,q,p,t;a(uv)^2,buv)\\ &\quad=\sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu}\omega_{\nu/\lambda}(v^{-1};v,q,p,t;a(vu)^2,bvu)\,\omega_{\lambda/\mu}(u^{-1};u,q,p,t;au^2,bu) \end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

where the summation index λ runs over partitions.

Using the recurrence relation (2.10) the definition of $\omega_{\lambda/\mu}(x;r;a,b)$ can be extended from the single variable $x\in\mathbb{C}$ case to the multivariable function $\omega_{\lambda/\mu}(z;r;a,b)$ with arbitrary number of variables $z=(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n$. That $\omega_{\lambda/\mu}(z;r;a,b)$ is symmetric is also proved in [17] using a remarkable elliptic BC_n ${}_{10}\varphi_9$ transformation identity.

Let the $\mathbb{Z}$-space V denote the space of infinite lower–triangular matrices whose entries are rational functions over the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}(q, p, t, r, a, b)$ as in [17]. The condition that a matrix $u \in V$ is lower triangular with respect to the partial inclusion ordering $\subseteq$ defined by

$$\mu \subseteq \lambda \;\Leftrightarrow\; \mu_i \leq \lambda_i, \quad \forall i \geq 1. \tag{2.12}$$

can be stated in the form

$$u_{\lambda\mu} = 0, \quad \text{when } \mu \not\subseteq \lambda. \tag{2.13}$$

The multiplication operation in V is defined by the relation

$$(uv)_{\lambda\mu} := \sum_{\mu \subseteq \nu \subseteq \lambda} u_{\lambda\nu} v_{\nu\mu} \tag{2.14}$$

for $u, v \in V$.

2.1. **Limiting Cases.** The limiting cases of the basic (the $p = 0$ case of the elliptic) W functions $W_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t, a, b) = W_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, 0, t, a, b)$ will be used in computations in what follows. To simplify the exposition, some more notation will be helpful. We set

$$W^{ab}_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t, s) := \lim_{a \to 0} W_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t, a, as) \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$W^{s\uparrow}_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) := \lim_{s \to \infty} s^{|\lambda| - |\mu|} W^{ab}_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t, s) \tag{2.16}$$

and finally,

$$W^{s\downarrow}_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t) := \lim_{s \to 0} W^{ab}_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t, s) \tag{2.17}$$

The existence of these limits can be seen from ($p = 0$ case of) the definition (2.7), the recursion formula (2.8) and the limit rule

$$\lim_{a \to 0} a^{|\mu|} (x/a)_\mu = (-1)^{|\mu|}\, x^{|\mu|} t^{-n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu')} \tag{2.18}$$

where $|\mu| = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ and $n(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n (i-1)\mu_i$, and $n(\mu') = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{\mu_i}{2}$.

These functions are closely related to the Macdonald polynomials [32, 36] and BC_n abelian functions [37].

We now make these definitions more precise. Let $H_{\lambda/\mu}(q, t, b) = H_{\lambda/\mu}(q, 0, t, b)$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\lambda/\mu}(q, t) &:= \lim_{b \to 0} H_{\lambda/\mu}(q, t, b) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left\{ \frac{(q^{\mu_i - \mu_{j-1}} t^{j-i})_{\mu_{j-1} - \lambda_j}}{(q^{\mu_i - \mu_{j-1} + 1} t^{j-i-1})_{\mu_{j-1} - \lambda_j}} \frac{(q^{\lambda_i - \mu_{j-1} + 1} t^{j-i-1})_{\mu_{j-1} - \lambda_j}}{(q^{\lambda_i - \mu_{j-1}} t^{j-i})_{\mu_{j-1} - \lambda_j}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

By setting $b = as$ in the definition of $W_{\lambda/\mu}$ function, and sending $a \to 0$ we define the family of symmetric rational functions $W^{ab}_{\lambda/\mu}$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} W^{ab}_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t, s) &:= \lim_{a \to 0} W_{\lambda/\mu}(x; q, t, a, as) \\ &= t^{-n(\lambda) + |\mu| + n(\mu)} H_{\lambda/\mu}(q, t) \frac{(x^{-1})_\lambda (qs/(xt))_\mu}{(x^{-1})_\mu (qs/x)_\lambda} \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Using (2.8) we get the following recurrence formula for $W^{ab}_{\lambda/\mu}$ function

$$W^{ab}_{\lambda/\mu}(y,z;q,t,s) = \sum_{\nu\prec\lambda} W^{ab}_{\lambda/\nu}(yt^{-\ell};q,t,st^{-\ell})\, W^{ab}_{\nu/\mu}(z;q,t,s) \tag{2.21}$$

where $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$ as before.

Similarly, the $W^{s\uparrow}_{\lambda/\mu}$ and $W^{s\downarrow}_{\lambda/\mu}$ are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} W^{s\uparrow}_{\lambda/\mu}(x;q,t) &:= \lim_{s\to\infty} s^{|\lambda|-|\mu|} W^{ab}_{\lambda/\mu}(x;q,t,s) \\ &= (-q/x)^{-|\lambda|+|\mu|} q^{-n(\lambda')+n(\mu')} H_{\lambda/\mu}(q,t) \frac{(x^{-1})_\lambda}{(x^{-1})_\mu} \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

The recurrence formula for $W^{s\uparrow}_{\lambda/\mu}$ function turns out to be

$$W^{s\uparrow}_{\lambda/\mu}(y,z;q,t) = \sum_{\nu\prec\lambda} t^{\ell(|\lambda|-|\nu|)} W^{s\uparrow}_{\lambda/\nu}(yt^{-\ell};q,t)\, W^{s\uparrow}_{\nu/\mu}(z;q,t) \tag{2.23}$$

for $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$. In the same way, we define

$$\begin{aligned} W^{s\downarrow}_{\lambda/\mu}(x;q,t) &:= \lim_{s\to 0} W^{ab}_{\lambda/\mu}(x;q,t,s) \\ &= t^{-n(\lambda)+|\mu|+n(\mu)} H_{\lambda/\mu}(q,t) \frac{(x^{-1})_\lambda}{(x^{-1})_\mu} \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

The recurrence formula for $W^{s\downarrow}_{\lambda/\mu}$ function becomes

$$W^{s\downarrow}_{\lambda/\mu}(y,z;q,t) = \sum_{\nu\prec\lambda} W^{s\downarrow}_{\lambda/\nu}(yt^{-\ell};q,t)\, W^{s\downarrow}_{\nu/\mu}(z;q,t) \tag{2.25}$$

where again $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^\ell$.

3. qt-BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

A common property for all types of special numbers we develop in this paper is that they are closely connected with binomial coefficients. Therefore, we start with the definition of qt-binomial coefficients which will be proved using a multiple analogue of the terminating version of qt-binomial theorem. We first derive a multiple terminating ${}_2\phi_1$ sum as a limit of Jackson's ${}_8\phi_7$ summation formula.

Theorem 3.1. *For an n-part partition λ, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(sx^{-1})_\lambda}{(s)_\lambda} = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} q^{|\mu|} t^{2n(\mu)} \frac{(x^{-1})_\mu}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \right\} \\ \cdot W^{ab}_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)};q,t,s^{-1}t^{n-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $s,x,t,q \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. The one variable basic (i.e., $p = 0$) version of the ω-Jackson sum [17]

$$\omega_\lambda(s^{-1}x;s;as^2,bs) = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} \omega_{\lambda/\mu}(s^{-1};s;as^2,bs)\, \omega_\mu(x;s;a,b) \tag{3.2}$$

can be written explicitly in the form

$$\frac{(sx^{-1}, asx)_\lambda}{(qbx, qb/ax)_\lambda} = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} \frac{(s, as)_\lambda}{(qb, qb/a)_\lambda} \frac{(bt^{1-n}, qb/as)_\mu}{(qt^{n-1}, as)_\mu} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{(1-bt^{2-2i}q^{2\mu_i})}{(1-bt^{2-2i})} (qt^{2i-2})^{\mu_i} \right\} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j} (bt^{3-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j} (bt^{2-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}} \right\}$$

$$\cdot W_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, bst^{2-2n}, bt^{1-n}) \frac{(x^{-1}, ax)_\mu}{(qbx, qb/ax)_\mu}$$

Substituting $b = da$ and sending $a, d \to 0$ gives the desired result. ☐

The terminating qt-binomial theorem follows as a corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *For an n-part partition λ, we have*

$$(x)_\lambda = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} (-1)^{|\mu|} q^{|\mu|+n(\mu')} t^{n(\mu)+(1-n)|\mu|} \frac{1}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \right\} W_\mu^{s\uparrow}(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t) \cdot x^{|\mu|}$$

where $x, t, q \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Replace $x \to sx^{-1}$ and $s \to sx$ in identity (3.1) in that order, and send $s \to 0$ using (2.18) to get the identity to be proved. ☐

This latter identity is a multiple analogue of Cauchy's q-binomial theorem [24]. Using (3.4) we give the definition of a multiple analogue of the binomial coefficient as promised.

Definition 3.3. Let λ and μ be n-part partitions. Then the qt-binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} := \frac{q^{|\mu|} t^{2n(\mu)+(1-n)|\mu|}}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \right\} W_\mu^{s\uparrow}(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t) \tag{3.5}$$

where $q, t \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that with this definition we can write the terminating qt-binomial theorem (3.4) in the form

$$(x)_\lambda = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} (-1)^{|\mu|} q^{n(\mu')} t^{-n(\mu)} \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} x^{|\mu|} \tag{3.6}$$

Note also that setting $t = q^\alpha$ and sending $q \to 1$ yields multiple ordinary α-binomial coefficients. Below we extend this definition further to be valid not only for partitions λ and μ, but also for any n-tuples of complex numbers $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^n$. For $n = 1$, the definition reduces to that of the one dimensional q-binomial coefficients

$$\binom{n}{k}_q := \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_{n-k}(q)_k} \tag{3.7}$$

which are also known as the Gaussian polynomials that are studied extensively in the literature including but not limited to the works in [4, 5, 24, 6, 26, 33, 25, 14].

Some of the main properties of the binomial coefficients readily generalize to the multiple case. For example, the identities

$$2^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \tag{3.8}$$

have the following multiple analogues.

Theorem 3.4. *For an n-part partition λ*

$$(-1)_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} q^{n(\mu')} t^{-n(\mu)} \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$0 = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} (-1)^{|\mu|} q^{n(\mu')} t^{-n(\mu)} \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. These identities follow immediately from (3.6) by setting $z = -1$ and $z = 0$, respectively. ☐

Before investigating multiple analogues of other binomial identities, we first note that the definition (3.3) makes sense even for generalized partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n)$ such that $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_n$ with possibly negative parts μ_i starting with some $i \in [n] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

Theorem 3.5. *The W_λ function is well-defined defined for the generalized partitions λ. In fact, the evaluation of W_λ function that enters into the definition of qt-binomial coefficient can be computed for n-tuples of complex numbers $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The limiting W_λ^{ab}, $W_\lambda^{s\uparrow}$ and $W_\lambda^{s\downarrow}$ functions are also defined in the general case.*

Proof. Let λ be an n-part partition with $\lambda_n \neq 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq \lambda_n$ for some integer k, and let $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We have shown [16] that

$$\begin{aligned} &W_\lambda(z; q, t, a, b) \\ &\quad = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(qbt^{j-2i})_{2k}}{(qbt^{j-1-2i})_{2k}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(z_i^{-1})_k (az_i)_k}{(qbz_i)_k (qb/(az_i))_k} W_{\lambda - k^n}(zq^{-k}; aq^{2k}, bq^{2k}, t, q) \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Among other applications, this identity extends the definition of W_λ function to general partitions. For a generalized partition λ, the index $\lambda - k^n$ on the right hand side will be a standard partition.

The duality formula for W_λ functions from [16] may be used to compute the special evaluation of W_λ that occur in many application in this paper even for

$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The formula can be stated as

$$\begin{aligned} W_\lambda\left(k^{-1}q^\nu t^\delta; q, t, k^2a, kb\right) &\cdot \frac{(qbt^{n-1})_\lambda (qb/a)_\lambda}{(k)_\lambda (kat^{n-1})_\lambda} \\ &\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(t^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j} (qa't^{2n-i-j-1})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}{(t^{j-i+1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j} (qa't^{2n-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}} \right\} \\ = W_\nu\left(h^{-1}q^\lambda t^\delta; q, t, h^2a', hb\right) &\cdot \frac{(qbt^{n-1})_\nu (qb/a')_\nu}{(h)_\nu (ha't^{n-1})_\nu} \\ &\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(t^{j-i})_{\nu_i-\nu_j} (qat^{2n-i-j-1})_{\nu_i+\nu_j}}{(t^{j-i+1})_{\nu_i-\nu_j} (qat^{2n-i-j})_{\nu_i+\nu_j}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $k = a't^{n-1}/b$ and $h = at^{n-1}/b$. Substitute these latter relations between parameters into the identity and factor out one term

$$\frac{q^{-\lambda_1\nu_1}(k)_{\lambda_1}(q/k)_{\nu_1}(kat^{2(n-1)}q^{\nu_1})_{\lambda_1}}{(q^{1-\lambda_1}/k)_{\nu_1}(bt^{n-1}q^{1+\nu_1}, (bt^{1-n}/a)q^{1-\nu_1})_{\lambda_1}} \tag{3.13}$$

corresponding to the dominant weight λ_1 from the W function on the left hand side, and one term

$$\frac{((at^{n-1}/b)q^{-\lambda_1}, akt^{2(n-1)}q^{\lambda_1})_{\nu_1}}{(bt^{n-1}q^{1+\lambda_1}, q^{1-\lambda_1}/k))_{\nu_1}} \tag{3.14}$$

for ν_1 from the W on the right hand side to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{q^{-\lambda_1\nu_1}(k)_{\lambda_1}(q/k)_{\nu_1}(kat^{2(n-1)}q^{\nu_1})_{\lambda_1}}{(q^{1-\lambda_1}/k)_{\nu_1}(bt^{n-1}q^{1+\nu_1}, (bt^{1-n}/a)q^{1-\nu_1})_{\lambda_1}} W'_\lambda\left(k^{-1}q^\nu t^\delta; q, t, k^2a, kb\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(qbt^{n-1})_\lambda (qb/a)_\lambda}{(k)_{\lambda_1}(kt^{-1})_{\lambda'}(kat^{n-1})_\lambda} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(t^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}(qkbt^{n-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}{(t^{j-i+1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}(qkbt^{n-i-j+1})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{((at^{n-1}/b)q^{-\lambda_1}, akt^{2(n-1)}q^{\lambda_1})_{\nu_1}}{(q^{1-\lambda_1}/k)_{\nu_1}(bt^{n-1}q^{1+\lambda_1})_{\nu_1}} W'_\nu\left((bt^{1-n}/a)q^\lambda t^\delta; q, t, a^2kt^{n-1}/b, at^{n-1}\right) \\ &\qquad \cdot \frac{(qbt^{n-1})_\nu (qt^{n-1}/k)_\nu}{(at^{n-1}/b)_\nu (akt^{n-1})_\nu} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(t^{j-i})_{\nu_i-\nu_j}(qat^{2n-i-j-1})_{\nu_i+\nu_j}}{(t^{j-i+1})_{\nu_i-\nu_j}(qat^{2n-i-j})_{\nu_i+\nu_j}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where W' denotes the W function after the dominant factors are taken out and λ' denotes the partition $(\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Setting $k = 1$, moving entries to the right hand side, and multiplying back both sides by the dominant factors for the left hand side gives

$$\begin{aligned} &W_\lambda\left(q^\nu t^\delta; q, t, a, b\right) \\ &= \frac{q^{\lambda_1\nu_1}(q^{-\nu_1})_{\lambda_1}}{(q)_{\nu_1}} \frac{(t^{-1})_{\lambda'}(at^{n-1})_\lambda}{(qbt^{n-1})_\lambda (qb/a)_\lambda} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}(qbt^{n-i-j+1})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}(qbt^{n-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}} \right\} \\ &\cdot \frac{((at^{n-1}/b)q^{-\lambda_1}, at^{2(n-1)}q^{\lambda_1})_{\nu_1}}{(bt^{n-1}q^{1+\lambda_1})_{\nu_1}} W'_\nu\left((bt^{1-n}/a)q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, a^2t^{n-1}/b, at^{n-1}\right) \\ &\qquad \cdot \frac{(qbt^{n-1})_\nu (qt^{n-1})_\nu}{(at^{n-1}/b)_\nu (at^{n-1})_\nu} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(t^{j-i})_{\nu_i-\nu_j}(qat^{2n-i-j-1})_{\nu_i+\nu_j}}{(t^{j-i+1})_{\nu_i-\nu_j}(qat^{2n-i-j})_{\nu_i+\nu_j}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

This formula allows us to extend the evaluation of the W_λ function on the left hand side from an n-part partition λ to any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$, because λ appears as a variable on the right hand side. The front factors that involve λ can be extended to the complex case by the definition of q-Pochhammer symbol (2.1).

Similar evaluations for the limiting cases W_λ^{ab}, $W_\lambda^{s\uparrow}$ and $W_\lambda^{s\downarrow}$ can easily be computed from this result. $\square$

We now turn to the study of main properties of qt-binomial coefficients.

Theorem 3.6. *For an n-part partition λ and generalized n-part partition μ, we have*

$$\binom{\lambda}{\lambda}_{q,t} = \binom{\lambda}{0^n}_{q,t} = 1. \tag{3.17}$$

where 0^n is the n-part partitions whose parts are all zero. In addition,

$$\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} = 0 \tag{3.18}$$

when $\mu \not\subseteq \lambda$ or $0^n \not\subseteq \mu$.

Proof. Recall that the normalizing coefficients for W_λ function is written [16] in the form

$$\begin{aligned} W_\lambda(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, a, b) &\\ = \prod_{k=1}^{n} &\left\{ \frac{(qbt^{n-k}, qt^{n-k})_{\lambda_k} (at^{2n-2k})_{2\lambda_k}}{((a/b)t^{n-k}, at^{n-k})_{\lambda_k} (qbt^{n+1-2k})_{2\lambda_k}} t^{(n+1-2k)\lambda_k} \right\} \\ &\cdot (a/(qb))^{|\lambda|} \cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j} (at^{2n-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j} (at^{1+2n-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Setting $b = as$ and sending $a \to 0$ and $s \to \infty$ (after multiplying by $s^{|\lambda|}$) gives

$$W_\lambda^{s\uparrow}(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t) = (qt^{n-1})_\lambda \, t^{(n-1)|\lambda| - 2n(\lambda)} q^{-|\lambda|} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}} \tag{3.20}$$

Substituting this evaluation into $\binom{\lambda}{\lambda}_{q,t}$ gives 1 as desired.

It is easy to see from the definition of W_λ function that $W_\mu(x; q, t, a, b) = 1$ when $\mu = 0^n$. Therefore $W_{0^n}^s(x; q, t) = 1$ and $\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} = 1$.

Finally, the fundamental vanishing property of W functions states [16] that

$$W_\mu(q^\lambda t^\delta; q, t, a, b) = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

when $\mu \not\subseteq \lambda$. Hence $W_\mu^s(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t) = 0$ and therefore $\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} = 0$ in that case. Note also that if $\mu_n < 0$ then $\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} = 0$ due to the $(qt^{n-1})_\mu$ factor in the denominator. $\square$

We introduce some notation before writing a multiple analogue of the important recurrence relation

$$\binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1}, \quad 1 \le k \le n$$

for classical binomial coefficients. Let $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ be the n-dimensional vector whose i-th coordinate is 1 and all others are 0. Let $\lambda^i = \lambda + e_i$ whenever λ^i

is a partition. Finally, we write $\lambda \vdash k$ to denote that λ is a partition of the positive integer k.

Theorem 3.7. *For an n-part partition λ and $k \leq |\lambda|$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(3.22)\qquad &\sum_{\mu\vdash k} q^{n(\mu')}t^{-n(\mu)}\binom{\lambda^i}{\mu}_{q,t}\\ &= \sum_{\tau\vdash k} q^{n(\tau')}t^{-n(\tau)}\binom{\lambda}{\tau}_{q,t} + \sum_{\nu\vdash(k-1)} q^{n(\nu')+\lambda_i}t^{-n(\nu)+1-i}\binom{\lambda}{\nu}_{q,t}\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu \subseteq \lambda^i$ and $\tau, \nu \subseteq \lambda$.

Proof. The proof is a simple application of the qt-binomial theorem (3.6). Since $(x)_{\lambda^i} = (1 - xt^{1-i}q^{\lambda_i})(x)_\lambda$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(3.23)\qquad &\sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda^i} (-1)^{|\mu|}q^{n(\mu')}t^{-n(\mu)}\binom{\lambda^i}{\mu}_{q,t} x^{|\mu|}\\ &= (1 - xt^{1-i}q^{\lambda_i})\sum_{\tau\subseteq\lambda}(-1)^{|\tau|}q^{n(\tau')}t^{-n(\tau)}\binom{\lambda}{\tau}_{q,t} x^{|\tau|}\end{aligned}$$

by a double application of (3.6). This may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(3.24)\qquad &\sum_{k=0}^{|\lambda^i|}\sum_{\mu\vdash k}(-1)^{|\mu|}q^{n(\mu')}t^{-n(\mu)}\binom{\lambda^i}{\mu}_{q,t} x^{|\mu|}\\ &= (1 - xt^{1-i}q^{\lambda_i})\sum_{\ell=0}^{|\lambda|}\sum_{\tau\vdash\ell}(-1)^{|\tau|}q^{n(\tau')}t^{-n(\tau)}\binom{\lambda}{\tau}_{q,t} x^{|\tau|}\end{aligned}$$

Note that the coefficient of x^k on the left hand side is

$$(3.25)\qquad \sum_{\mu\vdash k}(-1)^{|\mu|}q^{n(\mu')}t^{-n(\mu)}\binom{\lambda^i}{\mu}_{q,t}$$

The coefficient of x^k that comes from the two pieces on the right hand side becomes

$$(3.26)\qquad \sum_{\tau\vdash k}(-1)^{|\tau|}q^{n(\tau')}t^{-n(\tau)}\binom{\lambda}{\tau}_{q,t} + \sum_{\nu\vdash(k-1)}(-1)^{|\nu|+1}q^{n(\nu')+\lambda_i}t^{-n(\nu)+1-i}\binom{\lambda}{\nu}_{q,t}$$

Note also that $\mu \subseteq \lambda^i$ and $\tau, \nu \subseteq \lambda$, for otherwise the binomial coefficients vanish by Theorem (3.6) above. Canceling out common factors gives the result. ☐

A multiple analogue of the symmetry property

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n$$

for classical binomial coefficients now follows.

Corollary 3.8. *For an n-part partition λ and $k \leq |\lambda|$, we have*

$$(3.27)\qquad \sum_{\mu\vdash k}\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} = \sum_{\tau\vdash(|\lambda|-k)}\binom{\lambda}{\tau}_{q,t}$$

Proof. This result follows from the qt-binomial theorem (3.6) through a similar argument used in the proof of the previous theorem. □

We will now write an analogue of the identity

$$\frac{z^k}{(1-z)^{k+1}} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} z^n, \quad |z| < 1 \tag{3.28}$$

Let λ be an n-part partition and consider the $\mathbb{C}(q,t)$-space P_λ of all polynomials of degree less then or equal to $|\lambda|$ in a single complex variable x. Note that both sets $\beta_1 = \{x^k : k = 1, \dots, |\lambda|\}$ and $\beta_2 = \{(x)_\mu : \mu \subseteq \lambda\}$ form bases for P_λ. In fact, qt-binomial theorem stipulates just this, providing a change of basis formula between the two bases.

Similarly, we view the terminating ${}_2\phi_1$ sum (3.1) as a matrix representation of the shift operator acting on β_2, and prove an simpler version of the cocycle identity [16] for ω_λ functions as follows.

Theorem 3.9. *For n-part partitions ν and μ, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(sr)_\nu\, W^{ab}_\mu&(q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, (sr)^{-1}t^{n-1}) \\ = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} q^{|\lambda|} t^{2n(\lambda)} \frac{(s)_\nu}{(qt^{n-1})_\lambda} &\prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}} \right\} W^{ab}_\lambda(q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, s^{-1}t^{n-1}) \\ &\cdot (r)_\lambda\, W^{ab}_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, r^{-1}t^{n-1})\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Proof. Write the identity (3.1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}(sx)_\lambda = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} q^{|\mu|} t^{2n(\mu)} \frac{(s)_\lambda}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu} &\prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \right\} \\ &\cdot W^{ab}_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, s^{-1}t^{n-1})(x)_\mu\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Starting with the basis β_2, apply the shift operator by a factor of r followed by a shift by s. This double shift can be achieved by a composite shift by a factor of sr. Writing this argument explicitly using the identity above and simplifying gives the desired result. □

Using this result, we now write a multiple analogue of the binomial identity (3.28) given above. Here $(a)_{\infty^n}$ denotes $\prod_{i=1}^{n}(at^{1-i})_\infty$.

Theorem 3.10. *For n-part partitions ν and μ, we have*

$$\frac{z^{|\mu|}}{(qz)_{\infty^n}} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} = \sum_{\lambda\supseteq\mu} z^{|\lambda|} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}} \cdot \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} \tag{3.31}$$

where $\max\{\left|qzt^{(2i-n-1)}\right| : i \in [n]\} < 1$.

Proof. First send $r \to 0$ in the (3.29) to get

$$\begin{aligned}W^s_\mu&(q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; q, t) \\ = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} q^{|\lambda|} t^{2n(\lambda)} \frac{(s)_\nu}{(qt^{n-1})_\lambda} &\prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}} \right\} W^{ab}_\lambda(q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, s^{-1}t^{n-1}) \\ &\cdot s^{-|\mu|} W^s_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t)\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Next we send $\nu \to \infty$. Note that setting $\nu = k^n$, where $k^n = (k, k, \dots, k)$ denotes the n-part partition whose parts all equal k, simplifies the W function evaluations in the identity. To make this precise, we recall the Weyl denominator formula [16] which states that

$$\begin{aligned} W_\mu(xt^{\delta(n)}; q, t, a, b) \\ = \frac{(x^{-1}, axt^{n-1})_\mu}{(qbxt^{n-1}, qb/(ax))_\mu} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j} (qbt^{n-i-j+1})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j} (qbt^{n-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Set $b = cs^{-1}t^{1-n}$ and $a = ct^{2-2n}$ in (3.33), and send $c \to 0$ to get

$$W_\mu^{ab}(xt^{\delta(n)}; q, t, s^{-1}t^{n-1}) = \frac{(x^{-1})_\mu}{(qs^{-1}t^{n-1}/x)_\mu} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \tag{3.34}$$

Multiplying both sides by $(s^{-1}t^{n-1})^{|\mu|}$, and sending $s \to 0$ further implies that

$$W_\mu^{s\uparrow}(xt^{\delta(n)}; q, t) = (-1)^{|\mu|} x^{|\mu|} t^{n(\mu)} q^{-|\mu|-n(\mu')} (x^{-1})_\mu \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \tag{3.35}$$

On setting $x = q^k$, sending $k \to \infty$ in the last two evaluations and substituting them into the identity (3.32), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s^{|\mu|}q^{-|\mu|}}{(s)_{\infty^n}} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} = \sum_{\lambda\supseteq\mu} \frac{t^{2n(\lambda)-(n-1)|\lambda|} s^{|\lambda|}}{(qt^{n-1})_\lambda} \\ \cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}} \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}} \right\} W_\mu^{s\uparrow}(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t) \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Replacing s by qz gives the identity to be proved upon verifying the convergence.

The fact that the resulting infinite series converges follows from a multiple analogue of the dominated convergence theorem introduced in [17]. Consider the multiple series

$$\sum_{\lambda\in L_k^+} h_\lambda(k) \tag{3.37}$$

where L_k^+ is the sub–alcove $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n : k \ge \lambda_1 \ge \dots \lambda_n \ge 0\}$. The theorem states that if the pointwise limit $h_\lambda := \lim_{k\to\infty} h_\lambda(k)$ exists for all $\lambda \in L_k^+$, and we can find m_λ^h for each λ such that $|h_\lambda(k)| \le m_\lambda^h$ for all $k \ge \lambda_1$, and that the series $\sum_{\lambda\in L^+} m_\lambda^h$ is convergent, then the original series converges.

That the pointwise limit exists on both sides in (3.32) is already verified above. Note that the index μ of the W function inside the summand is a fixed partition. Note also that, except the powers of q, t and s, all other factors in the summand of (3.32) can be put into the form $(uq^\alpha)_\infty/(vq^\alpha)_\infty$ using the definition of q-Pochhammer symbol (2.1). Standard theorems on infinite products and sequences imply that such factors are bounded when α is a non–negative integer, $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$, and that v is such that the denominator never vanishes. This is because

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \left| \frac{(uq^\alpha)_\infty}{(vq^\alpha)_\infty} \right| = 1 \tag{3.38}$$

when $|q| < 1$. Therefore it follows that for a constant C_h that may depend only on q, t and s, and is independent of k and λ, we've found

$$m_\lambda^h = C_h \left| t^{2n(\lambda)-(n-1)|\lambda|} s^{|\lambda|} \right| = C_h \left| \prod_{i=1}^{n} t^{(2i-n-1)\lambda_i} s^{\lambda_i} \right| \tag{3.39}$$

Finally, we need to show that $\sum_{\lambda \in L^+} m_\lambda^h$ is convergent which may be verified using the multiple series ratio test. Let e_i be defined as above. Then, we see that

$$\left| \frac{m_{\lambda+e_i}^h}{m_\lambda^h} \right| = \left| t^{(2i-n-1)} qz \right| \tag{3.40}$$

for each $i \in [n]$ where possible (i.e., when $\lambda + e_i$ is a partition). Therefore, $\sum_{\lambda \in L^+} m_\lambda^h$ converges when

$$\max_{i \in [n]} \{ \left| t^{(2i-n-1)} qz \right| \} < 1.$$

The original series also converges under the same condition. □

Next, we write a multiple analogue of the identity

$$2^{n-m} \binom{n}{m} = \sum_{k=m}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} \tag{3.41}$$

for qt-binomial coefficients.

Theorem 3.11. *For n-part partitions ν and μ, we have*

$$t^{-n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu')} \frac{(-1)_\nu}{(-1)_\mu} \binom{\nu}{\mu}_{q,t} = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda \subseteq \nu} t^{-n(\lambda)} q^{n(\lambda')} \binom{\nu}{\lambda}_{q,t} \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} \tag{3.42}$$

Proof. The cocycle identity [16] for ω functions

$$\omega_{\lambda/\mu}((sr)^{-1}, sr, as^2, bs) = \sum_{\nu} \omega_{\lambda/\nu}(s^{-1}, s, as^2, bs)\, \omega_{\nu/\mu}(r^{-1}; r, a, b) \tag{3.43}$$

may be written explicitly in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(rs)_\lambda (asr^{-1})_\lambda}{(qbr^{-1})_\lambda (qbr/a)_\lambda} \frac{(qb)_\lambda (qb/a)_\lambda}{(s)_\lambda (as)_\lambda} \frac{(qb/as)_\mu}{(asr^{-1})_\mu} \frac{(ar^{-1})_\mu}{(qb/a)_\mu} \\ &\qquad W_\mu(t, bst^{2-2n}, br^{-1}t^{1-n}; q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q) \\ &= \sum_{\nu} \frac{(qb/as)_\nu}{(as)_\nu} \frac{(bt^{1-n})_\nu}{(qt^{n-1})_\nu} \frac{(r)_\nu (ar^{-1})_\nu}{(qbr^{-1})_\nu (qbr/a)_\nu} \\ &\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{(1 - bt^{2-2i} q^{2\nu_i})}{(1 - bt^{2-2i})} \left(qt^{2i-2}\right)^{\nu_i} \right\} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\nu_i - \nu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\nu_i - \nu_j}} \frac{(bt^{3-i-j})_{\nu_i + \nu_j}}{(bt^{2-i-j})_{\nu_i + \nu_j}} \right\} \\ &\qquad W_\nu(t, bst^{2-2n}, bt^{1-n}; q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q)\, W_\mu(t, bt^{2-2n}, br^{-1}t^{1-n}; q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; q) \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Setting $b = azrs/q$, and sending $a \to 0$ and $r \to 0$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(zs)_\nu}{(s)_\nu}\frac{(z)_\mu}{(zs)_\mu} s^{|\mu|} W^s_\mu(q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; q, t) \\
&\quad = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} \frac{(z)_\lambda}{(qt^{n-1})_\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} t^{2n(\lambda)} \cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}\right\} \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot W^{ab}_\lambda(q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, s^{-1}t^{n-1}) \cdot W^{s\uparrow}_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

We will use a multiple analogue of Bailey's ${}_{10}\phi_9$ transformation formula from [16] to transform the series on the right hand side. The ${}_{10}\phi_9$ transformation can be written explicitly in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(v)_\nu(a's^2v^{-1})_\nu}{(qbrs/v)_\nu(qbrv/sa')_\nu}\frac{(qbr)_\nu(qbr/a')_\nu}{(s)_\nu(a's)_\nu}\frac{(Av^2/s^2r)_\mu}{(qbrs^2/Av^2)_\mu}\frac{(qbrs/Av)_\mu}{(Av/sr)_\mu} \\
&\cdot \sum_{\substack{\lambda\\ \mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu}} \frac{(qbrs^2/Av^2)_\lambda}{(Av/s)_\lambda}\frac{((brsv^{-1}t^{1-n})_\lambda}{(qt^{n-1})_\lambda}\frac{(rs/v)_\lambda(Av/sr)_\lambda}{(qb)_\lambda(qbr^2s^2/Av^2)_\lambda}\frac{(qbr/a's)_\lambda}{(a's^2v^{-1})_\lambda}\frac{(a'sv^{-1})_\lambda}{(qbr/a')_\lambda} \\
&\cdot\prod_{i=1}^n\left\{\frac{(1-brsv^{-1}t^{2-2i}q^{2\lambda_i})}{(1-brsv^{-1}t^{2-2i})}\left(qt^{2i-2}\right)^{\lambda_i}\right\}\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}\frac{(brsv^{-1}t^{3-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}{(brsv^{-1}t^{2-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}\right\} \\
&\quad\cdot W_\lambda(t, brst^{2-2n}, brsv^{-1}t^{1-n}; q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; q)\, W_\mu(t, brsv^{-1}t^{2-2n}, bt^{1-n}; q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q) \\
&\quad = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\\ \mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu}} \frac{(r)_\lambda(Av^2/rs^2)_\lambda}{(qb)_\lambda(qbr^2s^2/Av^2)_\lambda}\frac{(qbrs/Av)_\lambda}{(Av/s)_\lambda}\frac{(qbr/a's)_\lambda}{(a's)_\lambda}\frac{(brt^{1-n})_\lambda}{(qt^{n-1})_\lambda}\frac{(a'sv^{-1})_\lambda}{(qbrv/sa')_\lambda} \\
&\quad\cdot\prod_{i=1}^n\left\{\frac{(1-brt^{2-2i}q^{2\lambda_i})}{(1-brt^{2-2i})}\left(qt^{2i-2}\right)^{\lambda_i}\right\}\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}\frac{(brt^{3-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}{(brt^{2-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}\right\} \\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot W_\lambda(t, brst^{2-2n}, brt^{1-n}; q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; q)\, W_\mu(t, brt^{2-2n}, bt^{1-n}; q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

Sending $b \to 0$ and setting $A = Asr/v$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(v)_\nu}{(A)_\mu}(-1)^{|\mu|}\, A^{|\mu|} t^{-n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu')} \\
&\qquad\cdot \sum_{\substack{\lambda\\ \mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu}} \frac{(A)_\lambda}{(qt^{n-1})_\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} t^{2n(\lambda)} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}\right\} \\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot W^{ab}_\lambda(v^{-1}t^{n-1}; q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; t, q)\, W^s_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; t, q) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\\ \mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu}} \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda|}\, A^{|\lambda|} v^{|\lambda|} t^{-n(\lambda)} q^{n(\lambda')}}{(qt^{n-1})_\lambda} q^{|\lambda|} t^{2n(\lambda)} t^{(1-n)|\lambda|} \\
&\qquad\qquad\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}\right\} W^s_\lambda(q^\nu t^{\delta(n)}; t, q)\, W^s_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; t, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

We now apply the $v = s$ and $A = -s^{-1}$ case of the last transformation identity on the sum side of (3.45) with $z = -s^{-1}$. The result follows after some manipulations of terms and simplifications. $\square$

A multiple analogue of the identity

$$2^{n-1}n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k\binom{n}{k} \tag{3.48}$$

follows from previous theorem as a special case.

Corollary 3.12. *For n-part partitions ν and μ, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-n(\mu)}q^{n(\mu')}\frac{(-1)_\nu}{(-1)_\mu}&\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(1-q^{\nu_i})\,t^{1-i}}{1-q}\right) \\ &= \sum_{e_1\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} t^{-n(\lambda)}q^{n(\lambda')}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(1-q^{\lambda_i})\,t^{1-i}}{1-q}\right)\binom{\nu}{\lambda}_{q,t} \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Proof. This follows immediately by setting $\mu = e_1$ in (3.42) and using the special evaluations (3.60) studied below. ☐

One of the most important families of discrete probability distributions is the binomial distribution whose density is given by

$$f(i;n,p) := \binom{n}{i}p^i(1-p)^{n-i} \tag{3.50}$$

where $0 < p < 1$ and $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. Here the density $f(i;n,p)$ denotes the probability that the "event i" occurs for fixed parameters n and p. Changing the roles of i and $n-i$ would give an equivalent definition.

We now define an analogous probability measure on the set of all n-part partitions contained in λ under the partial inclusion ordering.

Definition 3.13. Let λ be an n-part partition. For any partition $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, the qt-binomial density function is defined by

$$g(\mu;\lambda,z) := \binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} z^{|\lambda|-|\mu|}(z)_\mu \tag{3.51}$$

where $p \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < p < 1$. An alternative definition would be

$$f(\mu;\lambda,z) := t^{-2n(\mu)}q^{2n(\mu')}\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t}\frac{(z)_\lambda}{(z)_\mu}\,z^{|\mu|} \tag{3.52}$$

It needs to be verified that the density function is non–negative for any $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, and that the total probability adds up to 1 when summed over all $\mu \subseteq \lambda$. This is what we verify next.

Theorem 3.14. *The multiple discrete density functions $g(\mu;\lambda,z)$ and $f(\mu;\lambda,z)$ are valid densities.*

Proof. Set $a = qb/r$ in (3.3) and send $b \to 0$ and $s \to 0$ to get

$$\frac{(r)_\lambda}{(r/x)_\lambda} = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda}\frac{(1/x)_\mu}{(r/x)_\mu}(-1)^{|\mu|}\,r^{|\mu|}t^{-n(\mu)}q^{n(\mu')}\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} \tag{3.53}$$

Setting $z = 1/x$ now and sending $r \to \infty$ gives

$$1 = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} z^{|\lambda|-|\mu|}(z)_\mu\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} \tag{3.54}$$

which shows that $g(\mu;\lambda,z)$ add up to 1. Similarly, shifting x to xr in (3.53) and sending $r\to 0$ instead gives

$$1=\sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda}\frac{(z)_\lambda}{(z)_\mu}\,z^{|\mu|}t^{-2n(\mu)}q^{2n(\mu')}\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} \tag{3.55}$$

verifying total probability for the alternative definition $f(\mu;\lambda,z)$.

It is obvious from the definitions (2.4) and (3.3) that both densities are non–negative when $0<q,t,z<1$ and $z<t$. □

For a fixed n-part partition ν, let S_ν be the set of all partitions that are under ν with respect to the partial inclusion ordering. That is,

$$S_\nu := \{\lambda : \lambda\subseteq\nu\}$$

It then follows from Theorem 3.14 that the distribution

$$F(\lambda;\nu,z):=\sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} z^{|\nu|-|\mu|}(z)_\mu\binom{\nu}{\mu}_{q,t} \tag{3.56}$$

defines a probability measure on S_ν. An alternative distribution may be defined using the f density function defined above as well. The relation between this measure and the one given in [19] on the set of all partitions is to be investigated in another publication.

We will, however, define a multiple analogue of another important family of density function for the Poisson distribution in this section. It will be defined as a limiting case of the binomial as in the classical case. First we give two multiple analogues of the exponential function e^z.

Theorem 3.15. *The following functions are multiple analogues of the exponential function* e^z.

$$E_q(z):=(-z)_{\infty^n}=\sum_{\mu\in P_n}\frac{z^{|\mu|}q^{n(\mu')}t^{n(\mu)+(1-n)|\mu|}}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu}\cdot\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}\frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}\right\} \tag{3.57}$$

and

$$e_q(z):=\frac{1}{(z)_{\infty^n}}=\sum_{\mu\in P_n}\frac{z^{|\mu|}t^{2n(\mu)+(1-n)|\mu|}}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu}\cdot\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}\frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}\right\} \tag{3.58}$$

where $\max\{|zt^{(2i-n-1)}| : i\in[n]\}<1$.

Proof. Setting $\lambda=k^n$ in qt-binomial theorem (3.6), sending $k\to\infty$ by using the identity (3.34) and applying the multiple analogue of the dominated convergence theorem employed in the proof of the previous theorem, and replacing x by $-z$ gives the expression for $E_q(z)$.

Similarly, sending $x\to\infty$ in (3.1), setting $\lambda=k^n$ as above and sending $k\to\infty$ using the identity (3.35), and replacing s by z gives $e_q(z)$. Note in the latter case that the convergence theorem requires the condition $\max\{\left|zt^{(2i-n-1)}\right| : i \in [n]\} < 1$ to be satisfied. $\square$

We point out only two obvious properties of these functions. Namely, that

$$e_q(z)\, E_q(-z) = 1$$

and that

$$\lim_{q\to 1}\lim_{t\to 1} E_q(z(1-q)) = e^z, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{q\to 1}\lim_{t\to 1} e_q(z(1-q)) = e^z$$

Both of these properties follow immediately from their definitions given in the previous theorem. Other properties $E_q(z)$ and $e_q(z)$ satisfy will be investigated in a future publication.

We now give a multiple analogue of the qt-Poisson distribution.

Theorem 3.16. *The function*

$$f(\mu; z) := E_q(-z) \cdot \frac{z^{|\mu|}\, q^{2n(\mu')} t^{(1-n)|\mu|}}{(z)_\mu (qt^{n-1})_\mu} \cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \right\} \tag{3.59}$$

defines a valid density on the set of all partitions of length at most n.

Proof. Similar to the classical case, we set $\lambda = k^n$ and send $k \to \infty$ in the qt-binomial density $f(\mu; \lambda, z)$ using the identity (3.35) to get the qt-Poisson density $f(\mu; z)$ function as desired.

That the total probability $\sum_{\mu\in P_n} f(\mu; z)$ add up to 1, and that $f(\mu; z)$ is always non–negative follow from the construction. $\square$

Finally, we study certain special evaluations of the qt-binomials. It turns out that when either λ or μ is a rectangular partition $k^n = (k, k, \dots, k)$, then $\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t}$ has a closed form product representation.

Theorem 3.17. *For n-part partitions λ and μ, the special cases*

$$\binom{\lambda}{k^n}_{q,t}, \quad \binom{k^n}{\mu}_{q,t} \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{\lambda}{e_1}_{q,t} \tag{3.60}$$

have closed form product representations.

Proof. The $\lambda = k^n$ case of identity (3.11) becomes

$$W_{k^n}(z; q, t, a, b) = \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(qbt^{j-2i})_{2k}}{(qbt^{j-1-2i})_{2k}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(z_i^{-1})_k (az_i)_k}{(qbz_i)_k (qb/(az_i))_k} \tag{3.61}$$

Set $b = as$ and send $a \to 0$ to get

$$W^{ab}_{k^n}(z; q, t, s) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(z_i^{-1})_k}{(qs/z_i)_k} \tag{3.62}$$

Furthermore, multiplying both sides by s^{nk} and sending $s \to \infty$ gives

$$W^{s\uparrow}_{k^n}(z; q, t) = q^{-nk} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q^{1-k} z_i)_k \tag{3.63}$$

after flipping certain factors using

$$(a)_n = (q^{1-n}/a)_n q^{\binom{n}{2}}(-a)^n \tag{3.64}$$

Therefore we get

$$\binom{\lambda}{k^n}_{q,t} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(q^{1-k+\lambda_i}t^{n-i})_k}{(qt^{n-i})_k} \tag{3.65}$$

It is clear from the definition that

$$W^{s\uparrow}_{\lambda/\lambda}(x;q,t) = 1 \tag{3.66}$$

and

$$W^{s\uparrow}_{e_1/0^n}(x;q,t) = q^{-1}(1-x) \tag{3.67}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{C}$. It then follows from the recurrence relation (2.23) that

$$W^{s\uparrow}_{e_1}(z;q,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -q^{-1}x_i(1-x_i^{-1}t^{n-i}) = q^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + t^{i-1}\right) \tag{3.68}$$

for $z = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Multiplying by the front factors gives

$$\binom{\lambda}{e_1}_{q,t} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(1-q^{\lambda_i})\, t^{1-i}}{1-q} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\lambda_i]_q\, t^{1-i} \tag{3.69}$$

where $[n]_q := \dfrac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ is the so–called q-number or q-bracket.

The proof of the last result follows immediately from the identity (3.35). Setting $\lambda = k^n$ and manipulating factors gives

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{k^n}{\mu}_{q,t} &= t^{2n(\mu)+(1-n)|\mu|} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(q^{1+k-\mu_i}t^{i-1})_{\mu_i}}{(qt^{n-i})_{\mu_i}} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \right\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.70}$$

as claimed. □

In the light of last theorem, we give a definition for qt-number $[z]_{qt}$ extending that of a q-number defined above. The definition will be used in the next section in the discussion of the qt-Stirling numbers. First, we write an extension of our qt-binomial coefficients.

Definition 3.18. Let $z = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and μ be n-part partitions. Then the extended qt-binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\binom{z}{\mu}_{q,t} := \frac{q^{|\mu|}t^{2n(\mu)+(1-n)|\mu|}}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \right\} W^{s\uparrow}_{\mu}(q^z t^{\delta(n)};q,t) \tag{3.71}$$

where $q, t \in \mathbb{C}$. It should be noted that this definition makes sense even for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^n$ by the virtue of Theorem 3.5 when z is an n-part partition.

With this extension, a definition for qt-number may be written as follows.

Definition 3.19. Let $z = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then

$$[z]_{qt} = [z; q, t] := \binom{z}{1^n}_{q,t} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(1 - q^{x_i} t^{n-i})}{(1 - q t^{n-i})} \tag{3.72}$$

We also define the partition shifted generalization $[z; \mu]_{qt} = [z; \mu, q, t]$ of the qt-bracket in the form of a normalized $W^{s\uparrow}$ function as follows.

$$[z; \mu]_{qt} := q^{|\mu| + n(\mu')} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - q t^{n-i})^{\mu_i}} \right\} \cdot \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left\{ \frac{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i - \mu_j}}{(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i - \mu_j}} \right\} W^{s\uparrow}_{\mu}(q^z t^{\delta(n)}; q, t) \tag{3.73}$$

The evaluation (3.72) in the definition follows from (3.63). Note also that when $z = q^x t^{\delta(n)}$ for a single variable $x \in \mathbb{C}$, the μ-shifted qt-number $[z; \mu]_{qt}$ can be written as

$$[x; \mu]_{qt} = q^{n(\mu')} (q^x; 1/q, 1/t)_{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - q t^{n-i})^{\mu_i}} \right\} \tag{3.74}$$

by using the following application of (3.64)

$$x^{|\mu|} (x^{-1}, q, t)_{\mu} = (-1)^{|\mu|} q^{n(\mu)} t^{-n(\mu)} (x; q^{-1}, t^{-1})_{\mu} \tag{3.75}$$

to simplify the $W^{s\uparrow}$ function. Similarly, using (3.63) we get

$$[z; k^n]_{qt} := q^{n\binom{k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{(q^{1-k} q^{x_i} t^{n-i})_k}{(1 - q t^{n-i})^k} \right\} \tag{3.76}$$

In particular, for $k = 1$, we recover the definition (3.72) above.

Note also that $(x; 1/q, 1/t)_{\mu}$, with the reciprocals of q and t, corresponds to a multiple basic qt-analogue of the falling factorial $x_{\underline{n}} := x(x-1)\cdots(x-(n-1))$.

4. Multiple basic and ordinary special numbers

In this section we give multiple basic and multiple ordinary analogues (or the qt- and α-analogues, respectively) of several celebrated families of special numbers including Stirling numbers, Bernoulli numbers, Bell numbers, Fibonacci numbers and pentagonal numbers. The definition and some immediate properties of these numbers are studied in each case. Their fascinating deep properties such as the recurrence relations they satisfy, closed form evaluations in certain special cases and their combinatorial interpretations are carried out in other publications.

The multiple special number sequences we introduce in this section are indexed by partitions. For each class of multiple basic qt-special number, the corresponding multiple ordinary α-special number analogues can be found by setting $t = q^{\alpha}$ and sending $q \to 1$.

4.1. *qt*-Stirling numbers.

The Stirling numbers of the first kind are defined to be the coefficients of the falling factorial $x_{\underline{n}}$ in the expansion

$$x_{\underline{n}} = n! \binom{x}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_1(n, k)\, x^k \tag{4.1}$$

The q-analogue of these numbers are defined in [7] and their properties are studies in [35, 27, 30, 43] and others. We give the definition of the multiple qt-Stirling numbers generalizing the one dimensional q-analogues as follows.

Definition 4.1. For an n-part partition λ, the qt-Stirling numbers of the first kind $s_1(\lambda,\mu)$ are defined by

$$[x;\lambda]_{qt} = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} s_1(\lambda,\mu) \prod_{i=1}^{n} [\, xt^{n-i}]_{qt}^{\mu_i} \tag{4.2}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider the infinite dimensional lower triangular matrix m whose entries are $s_1(\lambda,\mu)$. That is, $m_{\lambda\mu} = s_1(\lambda,\mu)$. The qt-Stirling numbers of the second kind $s_2(\lambda,\mu)$ are defined to be the entries of the inverse m^{-1} of the matrix m. That is, $s_2(\lambda,\mu) := m^{-1}_{\lambda\mu}$.

We now write an explicit formula for the qt-Stirling numbers generalizing one dimensional q-analogues as follows. The proof of the theorem makes clear that the definition makes sense by presenting an inverse for the matrix m.

Theorem 4.2. *For n-part partitions ν and μ, an explicit formula for the qt-Stirling numbers of first and second kind $s_1(\lambda,\mu)$ and $s_2(\lambda,\mu)$ are given by*

$$s_1(\nu,\mu) := \frac{q^{n(\nu')}t^{-2n(\mu)+(n-1)|\mu|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-qt^{n-i})^{\nu_i-\mu_i}} \cdot \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} u(\nu,\lambda)\, t^{(1-n)|\lambda|} \Big(\lim_{q\to 1} v(\lambda,\mu,1/q,1/t) \Big) \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s_2(\nu,\mu) &= s_2(\nu,\mu,q,t) \\ &:= \frac{q^{-n(\mu')}t^{2n(\nu)+(1-n)|\nu|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-qt^{n-i})^{\nu_i-\mu_i}} \cdot \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} \Big(\lim_{q\to 1} u(\nu,\lambda,1/q,1/t) \Big) t^{(n-1)|\lambda|}\, v(\lambda,\mu,q,t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $u(\lambda,\mu)$ and $v(\lambda,\mu)$ are defined by

$$u(\lambda,\mu,q,t) := \frac{q^{|\mu|}t^{2n(\mu)}}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu} \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \right\} W^{s\downarrow}_{\mu}(q^{\lambda}t^{\delta(n)};q,t) \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v(\lambda,\mu,q,t) &:= \frac{(-1)^{|\mu|}q^{|\mu|+n(\mu')}t^{n(\mu)+(1-n)|\mu|}}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \left\{ \frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}} \right\} W^{s\uparrow}_{\mu}(q^{\lambda}t^{\delta(n)};q,t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. We write the W-Jackson sum [16] in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7)\quad & W_\lambda(x;q,p,t,at^{-2n},bt^{-n}) \\
&= \frac{(s)_\lambda(as^{-1}t^{-n-1})_\lambda}{(qbs^{-1}t^{-1})_\lambda(qbt^ns/a)_\lambda} \cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(t^{j-i+1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}(qbt^{-i-j+1})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}{(t^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}(qbt^{-i-j})_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j}}\right\} \\
&\quad \cdot \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda}\frac{(bs^{-1}t^{-n})_\mu(qbt^n/a)_\mu}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu(as^{-1}t^{-n-1})_\mu} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n\left\{\frac{(1-bs^{-1}t^{1-2i}q^{2\mu_i})}{(1-bs^{-1}t^{1-2i})}(qt^{2i-2})^{\mu_i}\right\} \\
&\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(t^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}(t^{j-i+1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}\frac{(bs^{-1}qt^{-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}(bs^{-1}t^{-i-j+2})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}{(bs^{-1}t^{-i-j+1})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}(qbs^{-1}t^{-i-j+1})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}\right\} \\
&\qquad \cdot W_\mu(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)};q,t,bt^{1-2n},bs^{-1}t^{-n}) \cdot W_\mu(xs;q,t,as^{-2}t^{-2n},bs^{-1}t^{-n})
\end{aligned}$$

Set $b = ar$ in this identity and send $a \to 0$, $r \to \infty$ using the limit rule (2.18), and set $z = xt^{\delta(n)}$ and simplify using (3.35) to get

$$(4.8)\qquad (x;q^{-1},t^{-1})_\lambda = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} u(\lambda,\mu)\,x^{|\mu|}$$

where $u(\lambda,\mu)$ is defined as above. Similarly, replace x by x/s in (4.7) and send s to 0 to get

$$(4.9)\qquad x^{|\lambda|} = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} v(\lambda,\mu)\,(x;q^{-1},t^{-1})_\mu$$

where $v(\lambda,\mu)$ is defined as in the theorem. Then it is clear, by a change of basis argument, that

$$(4.10)\qquad \delta_{\nu\lambda} = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} u(\nu,\lambda)\,v(\lambda,\mu)$$

Note that the left hand side (4.9) does not depend on q or t. Also,

$$(4.11)\qquad [xt^{n-1};\mu,1,t] = \prod_{i=1}^n [xt^{n-i}]_{qt}^{\mu_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(1-q^xt^{n-i})^{\mu_i}}{(1-qt^{n-i})^{\mu_i}}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{C}$. By sending $q \to 1$ in identity (4.9) and substituting it in (4.8) after replacing x by xt^{n-1}, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.12)\quad (x;q^{-1},t^{-1})_\lambda &= \sum_{\mu\subseteq\nu}(xt^{n-1};1,t^{-1})_\mu \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} u(\nu,\lambda)\,t^{(1-n)|\lambda|}\left(\lim_{q\to1} v(\lambda,\mu,1/q,1/t)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

This gives the explicit expression for the qt-Stirling numbers of the first kind after multiplying both sides by appropriate factors coming from the definition (3.74) of $[x;\lambda,q,t]$. Similarly, using the inversion relation (4.10) gives the explicit formula for the qt-Stirling numbers of the second kind, $s_2(\nu,\mu)$. □

These identities reduces in one dimensional case (i.e., $n = 1$) to the formulas for the q-Stirling numbers given in [30].

An obvious property that follows from the inversion relation (4.10) in the last proof is that, considered as matrix entries, the qt-Stirling numbers of the first and second kind form inverse matrices. That is,

$$\delta_{\nu\lambda} = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} s_1(\nu,\lambda)\, s_2(\lambda,\mu) = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda\subseteq\nu} s_2(\nu,\lambda)\, s_1(\lambda,\mu) \tag{4.13}$$

Leaving the investigation of other properties to a future publication, we point out another immediate property in the next theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *For an n-part partition λ*

$$s_1(\lambda,\lambda) = s_2(\lambda,\lambda) = 1. \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Setting $b = ast^{n-1}$ and sending $a \to 0$, and then $s \to 0$ in (3.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &W_\lambda^{s\downarrow}(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t) \\ &\qquad = (-1)^{|\lambda|}\, t^{-n(\lambda)} q^{-|\lambda|-n(\lambda')} (qt^{n-1})_\lambda \cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n} \frac{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}}{(qt^{j-i})_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Substitute this in $u(\lambda,\lambda)$ to get

$$u(\lambda,\lambda) = (-1)^{|\lambda|}\, t^{n(\lambda)} q^{-n(\lambda')} \tag{4.16}$$

Similarly, substituting (3.20) into $v(\lambda,\lambda)$ gives

$$v(\lambda,\lambda) = (-1)^{|\lambda|} q^{n(\lambda')}\, t^{-n(\lambda)} \tag{4.17}$$

In addition, the front factors

$$\frac{q^{n(\nu')} t^{-2n(\mu)+(n-1)|\mu|}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-qt^{n-i})^{\nu_i-\mu_i}} \tag{4.18}$$

and its reciprocal reduce to $q^{\pm n(\nu')} t^{\mp 2n(\lambda)\mp(n-1)|\lambda|}$ when $\nu = \mu$. This is precisely what we want to complete the proof, because the factors $q^{\mp n(\lambda')}$ in $u(\lambda,\lambda)$ and $v(\lambda,\lambda)$ becomes 1 in the limit as $q \to 1$. Finally, the intermediate term and the flips $q \to 1/q$ and $t \to 1/t$ cancel with the factor $t^{-2n(\lambda)+(n-1)|\lambda|}$. ☐

It should be pointed out again that setting $t = q^\alpha$ and sending $q \to 1$ gives the multiple ordinary α-Stirling numbers of the first and second kind.

4.2. **qt-Bernoulli numbers.** Another very important family of special numbers is the classical Bernoulli numbers B_k that may be defined in terms of a recurrence relation. Namely, $B_0 = 1$ and B_k, for all integers $k \ge 1$, satisfy

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} B_k$$

where $n \ge 2$. Generalizing this approach in terms of multiple basic qt-binomial coefficients, we now give the definition of qt-Bernoulli numbers. The vector e_1 and the partition λ^1 are defined as above.

Definition 4.4. For an n-part partition λ, the qt-Bernoulli numbers are defined by the recurrence relation

$$0 = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} t^{-n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu')} \binom{\lambda^1}{\mu}_{q,t} \beta_\mu \tag{4.19}$$

with the initial condition that $\beta_{0^n} = \beta_{(0,0,\dots,0)} = 1$.

Solving the equation (4.19) for β_λ gives

$$\beta_\lambda = -t^{n(\lambda)} q^{-n(\lambda')} \binom{\lambda^1}{\lambda}_{q,t}^{-1} \sum_{\mu\subset\lambda} t^{-n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu')} \binom{\lambda^1}{\mu}_{q,t} \beta_\mu \tag{4.20}$$

which can be used to compute β_μ for all partitions μ.

In the one dimensional (i.e., $n = 1$) case, the definition of qt-Bernoulli numbers reduces essentially to q-Bernoulli numbers defined in [7] and studied by [31, 30, 11, 10] and others. In the limit as $q \to 1$ in the one dimensional case, the qt-Bernoulli numbers reduce to the classical Bernoulli numbers.

We conclude this section by the following conjecture.

Theorem 4.5. *In the limit as $t = q^\alpha$ and $q \to 1$, the qt-Bernoulli numbers β_λ vanish for all n-part partitions λ whose weight is $|\lambda| = 2k+1$ for any integer $k \geq 1$.*

A proof of this conjecture among many other deep properties of these numbers will be investigated in a future publication.

4.3. ***qt*-Bell numbers.** Another important class of special numbers intimately related to the binomial coefficients is the Bell numbers defined by

$$B_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_2(n,k)$$

This definition also generalize readily to the multiple case as follows.

Definition 4.6. Let λ be an n-part partition. The qt-Bell numbers are defined by the relation

$$B_\lambda := \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} t^{-n(\mu)} q^{n(\mu')} s_2(\lambda,\mu) \tag{4.21}$$

where $s_2(\lambda,\mu)$ is the qt-Stirling numbers of the second type.

The classical Bell numbers satisfy the recurrence

$$B_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_k$$

Among other properties, a multiple analogue of this result for B_λ in terms of $\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t}$ will be given in another publication.

For $n = 1$ this definition reduces to q-Bell numbers are defined and studied in [34], [42] and others.

4.4. ***qt*-Catalan numbers.** A qt-generalization of the Catalan numbers already defined in [22] and developed in [23, 29] and others. These numbers are indexed by positive integers (the weights of partitions) and reduce to the q-Catalan numbers under the specialization of the t parameter. The multiple qt-Catalan numbers defined in this paper appear to be related to the definition given [22], however the precise relationship is still to be given. The qt-Catalan numbers of this paper reduces, when $n = 1$, to the one-dimensional q-Catalan numbers that are defined in [9] and studied in [2, 3, 40, 20], and others.

The classical Catalan numbers are defined by the relation

$$C_n := \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n} \tag{4.22}$$

We now give the following multiple qt-analogue of these numbers.

Definition 4.7. Let λ be an n-part partition. Then the qt-Catalan number C_λ is defined by

$$C_\lambda := \frac{1}{[\lambda^1]_{qt}}\binom{2\lambda}{\lambda}_{q,t} \tag{4.23}$$

where $\lambda^1 = \lambda + e_1 = (\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ as before.

In the special case when $\lambda = k^n$ is a rectangular partition, we get

$$C_{k^n} := \frac{(1 - qt^{n-1})}{(1 - q^{k+1}t^{n-1})}\prod_{i=2}^{n}\frac{(1 - qt^{n-i})}{(1 - q^k t^{n-i})}\prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{(q^{1+k}t^{n-i})_k}{(qt^{n-i})_k} \tag{4.24}$$

using the evaluation (3.65) from above. In the particular case when $k = 1$, this reduces to

$$C_{1^n} := \prod_{i=2}^{n}\frac{(1 - q^2 t^{n-i})}{(1 - qt^{n-i})} \tag{4.25}$$

which, as an empty product, becomes 1 when $n = 1$.

Similarly, using (3.69) we see that

$$C_{e_1} := \frac{1}{[e_1^1]_{qt}}\binom{2\,e_1}{e_1}_{q,t} = 1 \tag{4.26}$$

Other properties of qt-Catalan numbers will be investigated in a future publication.

4.5. ***qt*-Fibonacci numbers.** Recall that the classical Fibonacci numbers F_n have the representation

$$F_{n+1} = \sum_{n=k+m}\binom{k}{m} \tag{4.27}$$

In a similar way, we extend the definition to the multiple basic case as follows.

Definition 4.8. For an n-part partition λ, the qt-Fibonacci numbers are defined by the relation

$$F_{\lambda^1} := \sum_{\nu+\mu=\lambda} q^{2n(\mu')}t^{2(n-1)|\mu|-2n(\mu)}\binom{\nu}{\mu}_{qt} \tag{4.28}$$

where the sum runs over all n-part partitions $\nu \subseteq \lambda$ and $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ such that their sum equals λ. Here, the sum $\nu + \mu$ of two partitions ν and μ is defined to be the coordinate–wise summation of the two. That is,

$$\nu + \mu := (\nu_1 + \mu_1, \nu_2 + \mu_2, \dots, \nu_n + \mu_n)$$

which itself is a partition.

In one dimensional case $n = 1$, the qt-Fibonacci numbers are equivalent to the q-Fibonacci numbers defined in [39] and studied in [8], [1], [21], [12], [13], [28] and others. In particular, in the limiting case $q \to 1$ for $n = 1$, both definitions generate the classical Fibonacci sequence.

Among a myriad of fascinating properties of the Fibonacci numbers, the fundamental recurrence relation

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2},$$

which is used to define the Fibonacci sequence with the initial conditions $F_0 = 0$ and $F_1 = 1$, can be generalized to qt-Fibonacci numbers F_λ using Theorem 3.7. This fundamental result and other properties will be given in a separate publication.

We close this section by pointing out that the relation between the so–called finite form of the classical Rogers–Ramanujan identities and the q-Fibonacci sequence holds true for our multiple qt-Fibonacci sequence. More precisely, it is known [1, 12] that the q-Fibonacci sequence may be generated by

$$F_{n+1}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor} x^k q^{k^2-k}\binom{n-k}{k}_q \tag{4.29}$$

in the limit as $q \to 1$ for $x = 1$. The finite form of Rogers–Ramanujan identities is essentially a limiting case of the Watson transformation. The multiple analogue of the Watson transformation given in [17] can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(qb, qb/\rho_2\sigma_2)_\lambda}{(qb/\sigma_2, qb/\rho_2)_\lambda}\sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} q^{|\mu|}t^{2n(\mu)}\frac{(\sigma_2, \rho_2, qb/\rho_1\sigma_1)_\mu}{(qt^{n-1}, qb/\sigma_1, qb/\rho_1)_\mu} \\ &\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}}\right\} W_\mu^{ab}(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, \rho_2\sigma_2 t^{n-1}/qb) \\ &= \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda}\left(\frac{q^3b^2}{\sigma_1\rho_1\sigma_2\rho_2}\right)^{|\mu|}(-1)^{|\mu|}q^{n(\mu')}t^{n(\mu)}\prod_{i=1}^n\left\{\frac{(1-bt^{2-2i}q^{2\mu_i})}{(1-bt^{2-2i})}\right\} \\ &\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}(bt^{3-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}(bt^{2-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}\right\} W_\mu^a(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, bt^{1-n}) \\ &\cdot \frac{(bt^{1-n}, \sigma_2, \rho_2, \sigma_1, \rho_1)_\mu}{(qt^{n-1}, qb/\sigma_1, qb/\rho_1, qb/\sigma_2, qb/\rho_2)_\mu} \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

The limiting case of this identity, as $\sigma_1, \rho_1, \sigma_2, \rho_2 \to \infty$, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(qb)_\lambda\sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} q^{|\mu|+2n(\mu')}t^{-2n(\mu)}b^{|\mu|}\binom{\lambda}{\mu}_{q,t} \\ &= \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda}(-1)^{|\mu|}q^{3|\mu|+5n(\mu')}t^{-3n(\mu)}b^{2|\mu|}\frac{(bt^{1-n})_\mu}{(qt^{n-1})_\mu}\prod_{i=1}^n\left\{\frac{(1-bt^{2-2i}q^{2\mu_i})}{(1-bt^{2-2i})}\right\} \\ &\cdot \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left\{\frac{(qt^{j-i})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}(bt^{3-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}{(qt^{j-i-1})_{\mu_i-\mu_j}(bt^{2-i-j})_{\mu_i+\mu_j}}\right\} W_\mu^a(q^\lambda t^{\delta(n)}; q, t, bt^{1-n}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

which is a multiple analogue of the finite (terminating) version of the multiple Rogers–Ramanujan identities studied in [17]. The summand on the left hand side becomes identical to that in our definition of qt-Fibonacci numbers on making the substititution $b = q^{-1}t^{2(n-1)}$ except that the only partitions ν and μ that enter the sum in the definition are the ones that add up to λ.

5. Conclusion

The classical sequences of special numbers have fascinated mathematicians for centuries, and great many properties of these numbers have been studied. Several one or two parameter extensions of these numbers have been developed as well. However, these extensions were mostly one dimensional, and multiple analogues of these numbers have been missing. This paper takes an important step and presents multiple (basic and ordinary) analogues of several important classes of special numbers and develops some of their basic properties. Needless to say, the greatly rich properties of these numbers can not be fully covered in a single article. In addition, no attempt is made to include other families of related special numbers in this paper. These ideas will be pursued in future publications.

References

[1] G. E. Andrews, *Fibonacci numbers and the RogersRamanujan identities,* Fibonacci Quart. **42** (2004), 3-19.

[2] G. E. Andrews, *Catalan numbers, q-Catalan numbers and hypergeometric series,* J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **44** (1987), no. 2, 267–273.

[3] G. E. Andrews, *Identities in combinatorics II: A q-analog of the Lagrange inversion theorem,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1975), 240–245.

[4] G. E. Andrews, *q-Series: Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **66** Amer. Math. Soc. 1986

[5] G. E. Andrews, *Gaussian polynomials and finite Rogers-Ramanujan identities. Theory and applications of special functions,* Dev. Math., **13** (2005) 39–60

[6] A. Berkovich, S. O. Warnaar, *Positivity preserving transformations for q-binomial coefficients,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** 6 (2005) 2291–2351.

[7] L. Carlitz, *q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials,* Duke Math. J., **15** (1948), 987-1000

[8] L. Carlitz, *Fibonacci notes. III: q-Fibonacci numbers,* Fibonacci Quart. **12** (1974), 317-322

[9] L. Carlitz, J. Riordan, *Two element lattice permutation numbers and their q-generalization,* Duke J. Math. **31** (1964), 371–388

[10] L. Carlitz, *q-Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1954), 332–350

[11] M. Cenkci, V. Kurt, S. H. Rim, Y. Simsek, *On (i, q) Bernoulli and Euler numbers,* Appl. Math. Letters **21** (2008), no. 7, 706–711

[12] J. Cigler, *q-Fibonacci polynomials and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities,* Ann. Comb. **8** no. 3 (2004), 269–285

[13] J. Cigler, *q-Fibonacci polynomials,* Fibonacci Quart. **41** no. 1 (2003), 31–40

[14] W. E. Clark, M. Ismail, *Binomial and q-binomial coefficient inequalities related to the Hamiltonicity of the Kneser graphs and their q-analogues,* J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **76** 1 (1996) 83–98

[15] H. Coskun, *A BC_n Bailey lemma and generalizations of Rogers–Ramanujan identities,* August 2003, Ph.D. thesis.

[16] H. Coskun and R. Gustafson, *The well–Poised Macdonald Functions W_λ and Jackson Coefficients ω_λ On BC_n,* Jack, Hall–Littlewood and Macdonald Polynomials, ICMS, AMS Contemporary Mathematics, **417** (2006), 127–155

[17] H. Coskun, *An Elliptic BCn Bailey Lemma, Multiple Rogers–Ramanujan Identities and Euler's Pentagonal Number Theorems,* AMS Transactions, **360** (2008), 5397–5433.

[18] L. B. Frenkel and V. G. Turaev, *Elliptic solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation and modular hypergeometric functions,* The Arnold–Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, Birkhauser, Boston, MA (1997), 171–204.

[19] J. Fulman, *A probabilistic proof of the RogersRamanujan identities,* Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), 397-407.

[20] J. Furlinger and J. Hofbauer, *q-Catalan numbers,* J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **40** (1985), 248–264.

[21] K. Garret, M. E. H. Ismail and D. Stanton, *Variants of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities,* Adv. in App. Math. **23** (1999), 274–299.

[22] A.M. Garsia, M. Haiman, *A remarkable q, t-Catalan sequence and q-Lagrange inversion,* J. Algebra Combin., **5** (3) (1996), 191-244.

[23] Garsia, A. M., Haglund, J., *A proof of the q, t-Catalan positivity conjecture,* Discrete Math. **256** (2002), no. 3, 677–717.

[24] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications,* Vol 35 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1990).

[25] F. Garvan, D. Stanton, *Sieved partition functions and q-binomial coefficients,* Math. Comp. **55** 191 (1990), 299–311.

[26] H. W. Gould, *The bracket function, q-binomial coefficients, and some new Stirling number formulas,* Fibonacci Quart. **5** (1967) 401–422.

[27] H. W. Gould, *The q-Stirling numbers of first and second kinds,* Duke Math. J. **28** (1961) 281–289.

[28] A. M. Goyt, B. E. Sagan, *Set partition statistics and q-Fibonacci numbers,* European J. Combin. **30** (2009), 230-245.

[29] M. Haiman, *t, q-Catalan numbers and the Hilbert scheme,* Discrete Math. **193** (1998), no. 1-3, 201–224.

[30] T. Kim, *q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials associated with Gaussian binomial coefficients,* Russian Journal of Math. Physics **15** No. 1 (2008), 51–57.

[31] N. Koblitz, *On Carlitz's q-Bernoulli numbers,* J. Number Theory **14** (1982), no. 3, 332–339

[32] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials,* 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, (1995).

[33] I. G. Macdonald, *An elementary proof of a q-binomial identity. q-series and partitions,* IMA Vol. Math. Appl. **18** (1989) 73–75

[34] S. Milne, *A q-analogue of restricted growth functions, Dobinski's equality, and Charlier polynomials,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **245** (1978), 89–118.

[35] S. C. Milne, *Restricted growth functions, rank row matchings of partition lattices, and q-Stirling numbers.* Adv. in Math. **43** (1982), no. 2, 173–196.

[36] A. Okounkov, *On Newton interpolation of symmetric functions: A characterization of interpolation Macdonald Polynomials,* Adv. in Appl. Math. **20** (1998), 395–428.

[37] E. Rains, *BC_n–symmetric abelian functions,* math.CO/0402113.

[38] H. Rosengren and M. Schlosser, *Summations and transformations for multiple basic and elliptic hypergeometric series by determinant evaluations,* Indag. Math. **14** (2003), 483-514.

[39] I. Schur, *Gesmmelte Abhandungen,* vol. 2, Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[40] J. R. Stembridge, *The Enumeration of Fully Commutative Elements of Coxeter Groups,* Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics **7** 3 (1998) 291–320

[41] S. O. Warnaar, *Summation and transformation formulas for elliptic hypergeometric series,* Constr. Approx. **18** (2002), 479–502.

[42] Carl G. Wagner, *Partition statistics and q-Bell numbers ($q = -1$),* (English summary) J. Integer Seq. **7** (2004), no. 1, 12 pp.

[43] J. Zeng, *The q-Stirling numbers, continued fractions and the q-Charlier and q-Laguerre polynomials,* J. Comput. Appl. Math. **57** (1995), no. 3, 413–424.

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University–Commerce, Binnion Hall, Room 314, Commerce, TX 75429

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University–Commerce, Binnion Hall, Room 314, Commerce, TX 75429

E-mail address: `hasan_coskun@tamu-commerce.edu`